

Appln. No. Serial No. 10/789,925  
Amdt. Dated 4/25/2006  
Second Response in Appln, Reply to Office Action of 11/8/2005  
Page 3 of 3

### REMARKS

The Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and indicated that Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In the foregoing amendment, Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled and Claim 3 has been rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, Claim 3 should now be in condition for allowance. No fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 11-0855.

### CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. This application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants solicit a notice to that effect. If there are any issues that can be addressed via telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Brenda O. Holmes  
Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530  
Telephone: (404) 815-6500  
Facsimile: (404) 815-6555  
Our Docket: 44471/297917